



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013-AJEA-5933
Title of the Manuscript:	DIETARY INFLUENCES OF Aspilia Africana ON BREEDING RABBIT DOES

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I have gone through the revised manuscript and found that the author(s) addressed most of the comments sent to them except the followings (1) The introduction is very long and comprises of bulky materials not directly related to the topic of the research conducted. I edited and shortened the introduction section rather than sending it back to the author(s). However either the editor(s) or the author(s) need to agree with it after going through it for fine tuning. Moreover there are reference materials deleted during the revision made. Therefore the reference list needs to be re-visited. (2). Few editorial work is done on the revised copy attached herewith subjected to your decision (3). The conclusion section is not made attractive and/or informative. It is better to remove rather than retaining it as it is. Probably it is not bad to improve it with the inclusion of future direction of research in the area.	(1) Thank you for your effort towards editing and shortening the introduction. I have tried my best to shorten the introduction accordingly, although the comments from the two reviewers are contradictory at some points; they at times have different views about a particular thing but I have tried my best to balance my respect for the views of the two reviewers. The reference list has been revisited as corrected in page seven (7) (lines 238-256) and (lines 281-335). (2) Thanks for the correction. (3) The conclusion has been improved as effected in page 5 (lines 215-222).